Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION

hep-th/0608215
LU TP 06-30

arXiv:hep-th/0608215 v1 30 Aug 2006

Star product and the general Leigh-Strassler deformation

Daniel Bundzik

School of Technology and Society, Malmö University,
Östra Varvsgatan 11A, S-205 06 Malmö, Sweden

Department of Theoretical Physics, Lund University,
Sölvegatan 14A, S-223 62, Sweden
E-mail: Daniel.Bundzik@ts.mah.se

Abstract: We extend the definition of the star product introduced by Lunin and Maldacena to study marginal deformations of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. The essential difference from the latter is that instead of considering $U(1) \times U(1)$ non-R-symmetry, with charges in a corresponding diagonal matrix, we consider two $\mathbb{Z}_3$-symmetries followed by an $SU(3)$ transformation, with resulting off-diagonal elements. From this procedure we obtain a more general Leigh-Strassler deformation, including cubic terms with the same index, for specific values of the coupling constants. We argue that the conformal property of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is preserved, in both β- (one-parameter) and γ_i-deformed (three-parameters) theories, since the deformation for each amplitude can be extracted in a prefactor. We also conclude that the obtained amplitudes should follow the iterative structure of MHV amplitudes found by Bern, Dixon and Smirnov.

Keywords: marginal deformations, β-deformation, γ_i-deformation, three-parameter deformation.

Contents

1. Introduction **1**

2. Conformal deformations of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM **2**

3. Deformations from star product **4**

3.1 Eigenvalue system 5

3.2 Definition of star product 7

3.3 Superpotential in the one-parameter deformed theory 8

3.4 Superpotential in the three-parameter deformed theory 9

4. Star product of composite chiral superfields **10**

5. Tree-level amplitudes from star product **11**

6. Phase dependence of amplitudes from star product **13**

7. One-loop finiteness condition **14**

8. Summary and discussion **15**

A. Star product in γ_i-deformed theory **16**

1. Introduction

The exactly marginal deformations of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) preserving $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry, systematically investigated by Leigh and Strassler in [1], have been studied extensively since the finding, by Lunin and Maldacena in [2], of the supergravity dual of the so-called β-deformed[1] $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory. Marginal deformations provide an interesting opportunity to study the AdS/CFT-correspondence [3] in new supergravity backgrounds.

The perturbative behaviour of the β-deformed theory shares many features of the undeformed theory [4, 5, 6, 7]. In [8] it was found that maximally helicity violating

[1]By β-deformation we mean a one-parameter complex deformation $\beta = \beta_R + i\beta_C$. With a γ_i-deformed theory we mean a theory containing three complex parameters γ_1, γ_2 and γ_3. In the literature, a γ-deformed theory sometimes means deformations by the real part of β which is called β_R in the present work.

(MHV) planar amplitudes in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM have an iterative structure for all n-point amplitudes. These results were then transferred to the β-deformed theory in [7] by placing the deformation into the so-called star product. The use of the star product, which was first introduced in this context in [2], to study marginal deformations is especially convenient when calculating amplitudes, since the dependence of the deformation can be isolated into an overall prefactor.

The main purpose of this article is to show that it is possible to obtain the general Leigh-Strassler deformation[2], including cubic terms with all indices equal the same value, from the star product. In section 2 we discuss the necessary conditions for conformal deformations of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM. In Section 3 we consider two global $\mathbb{Z}_3$-symmetries, in order to solve an eigenvalue system with eigenvectors as a linear combination of the three chiral superfields Φ_i. The two systems are related by an element of $SU(3)$ which is also a symmetry of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM Lagrangian written in terms of $\mathcal{N}=1$ superfields. We continue to define the star product for $\mathbb{Z}_3\times\mathbb{Z}_3$-symmetry charges, containing three deformation parameters γ_i. The β-deformed theory is obtained by putting all parameters equal. In the the diagonal system the star product is easily evaluated. We calculate the superpotential, with ordinary multiplication replaced by the star product, in the β- and γ_i-deformed theories. The result is the general Leigh-Strassler deformed superpotential, including the terms of the form $\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_i^3$. In section 4 we compute the starproduct of two chrial superfields which are simple in the β-deformed case. In appendix A we present the the results in the γ_i-deformed theory. In section 5 we study the tree-level amplitudes corresponding to terms in the classical Lagrangian. In the β-deformed theory we find the expected 4-point scalar interaction terms for a Leigh-Strassler deformed theory. However, in the γ_i-deformed case we obtain component terms of the form $\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi_i^\dagger\phi_i^\dagger\phi_i\phi_j$, i.e with three identical indices, which are not normally considered in a Leigh-Strassler deformed theory. Their gauge invariance and supersymmetric properties have to be investigated. In Section 6 we extend the proof in [7] which shows that the phase-dependence of HMV planar tree- and loop-diagrams can be computed from an effective tree-level vertex, determined only by external fields. We conclude that the proof also holds for our present theories. In the final section we compute the one-loop finiteness conditions for conformal marginal deformed $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetric theories with both β- and γ_i-deformation.

2. Conformal deformations of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM

The most general renormalizable $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric action which is invariant

[2] To distinguish from the β-deformed superpotential we use the word "general" when cubic terms of the form $\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_i^3$ are present in the Leigh-Strassler deformed theory.

under a gauge group G, can be written as, excluding gauge-fixing and ghost terms,[3]

$$S = \frac{1}{16T(A)g^2}\int d^4xd^2\theta \mathrm{Tr} W^\alpha W_\alpha + \int d^4xd^2\theta d^2\bar\theta \Phi^\dagger_A \left(e^{2gV}\right)^A_{\ B}\Phi^B + \int d^4xd^2\theta \mathcal{W} + \mathrm{h.c.} \tag{2.1}$$

The chiral superfield Φ_A and its conjugate transform under irreducible representations R of G. The index A runs over irreducible representations R_i and the component of each irreducible representation is labeled by I, such that $A = \{i, I\}$ [10]. The vector superfield $V^A_{\ B} = V_a \left(T^a\right)^A_{\ B}$ contains the generators T^a, $a = 1, \ldots, \dim G$, of the gauge group G defined by $\left(T^a\right)^A_{\ B} = \left(T^{a\,i}\right)^I_{\ J}$. The first term in (2.1) is related to the gauge theory kinematic Lagrangian containing the gauge field A^μ and a Majorana spinor, which we call λ_4. $\mathcal{W}$ is the superpotential and is given by

$$\mathcal{W} = C_{ABC}\Phi^A\Phi^B\Phi^C\,, \tag{2.2}$$

where C_{ABC} is totally symmetric in A, B and C or equivalent totally symmetric in the pairs $\{i, I\}$, $\{j, J\}$ and $\{k, K\}$. In the following we will restrict ourselves to

$$C_{ABC} \equiv C^{i\,j\,k}_{I\,J\,K} = a^{ijk}b_{IJK} + h^{ijk}d_{IJK}\,, \tag{2.3}$$

where a^{ijk} and b_{IJK} are totally anti-symmetric and h^{ijk} and d_{IJK} are totally symmetric.

The supercurrent $J_{\alpha\dot\alpha}$ of the theory has the anomaly [10, 1]

$$\bar D^{\dot\alpha} J_{\alpha\dot\alpha} = -\frac{1}{3}\left[\frac{\beta_g}{g}W^\beta W_\beta + (d_s - 3) + \gamma^i_{\ j}\left(\Phi_i \bar D_{\dot\beta}\bar D^{\dot\beta}\Phi^{\dagger j}\right)\right]\,. \tag{2.4}$$

where $\gamma^i_{\ j}$ is the anomalous dimension for Φ^i. The anomaly (2.4) is zero in a conformal theory. At one-loop we have

$$\beta^{(1)}_g = \frac{g^3}{16\pi^2}\left[\sum_i T(R_i) - 3C_2(G) + \sum_{i,j} T(R_i)\gamma^{(1)i}_{\ \ j}\right]\,, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\beta^{(1)}_{h_{ijk}} = h_{ijk}\left[(d_s - 3) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} r_i \gamma^{(1)i}_{\ \ j}\right]\,. \tag{2.6}$$

The number r_i counts the number of chiral fields in each term of the superpotential with the sum $d_s = \sum_i r_i$. The anomalous dimension is [11]

$$\gamma^{(1)i}_{\ \ j} = C^{ikl}_{IKL}\bar C^{JKL}_{jkl} - 2g^2 T(R)\delta^i_j\delta^I_J\,. \tag{2.7}$$

[3] We use the conventions of [9] such that the generators of the gauge group satisfy $\left[T^a_R, T^b_R\right] = if^{ab}_{\ \ c}T^c_R$ for the representation R. The adjoint representation A is given by the structure constants such that $\mathrm{ad}\, T^a_R = \left(T^a_A\right)^b_{\ c} = -if^{ab}_{\ \ c}$, normalized as $\mathrm{Tr}\, T^a_A T^b_A = -T(A)\delta^{ab}$.

Vanishing of the one-loop anomalous dimension also implies UV finiteness of $\mathcal{N}=1$ SYM at two-loop level [11].

$\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills in the $\mathcal{N}=1$ superfield formulation contains three chiral superfields in the adjoint representation of the $SU(N)$ gauge group and is obtained by taking $i=1,2,3$ and $I\equiv a=1,\ldots,N^2-1$. Thus, if we define $\Phi^j\equiv\Phi^i_a T^a$ the structure constants are $\varepsilon_{IJK}=f_{abc}$, which can be expressed $f_{abc}=-iT(R)^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}\,T^a\left[T^b,T^c\right]$. The symmetric part d_{abc} vanishes for a real representation. The $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM superpotential becomes

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}=4}=-\frac{ig}{T(R)}\varepsilon^{ijk}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_i\left[\Phi_j,\Phi_k\right]\,. \tag{2.8}$$

In the Wess-Zumino gauge, the $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetric Lagrangian can be written in terms of $\mathcal{N}=1$ component fields as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}=\mathrm{Tr}\Bigg(&\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}-i\lambda_4^\dagger\bar\sigma^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\lambda_4-i\lambda_i^\dagger\bar\sigma^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\lambda_i-\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\mu\phi_i^\dagger\mathcal{D}^\mu\phi_i\\&-\frac{\sqrt{2}g}{T(A)}\left(\lambda_4\left[\phi_i^\dagger,\lambda_i\right]+\lambda_4^\dagger\left[\lambda_i^\dagger,\phi_i\right]\right)-\frac{g}{T(A)}\left(\varepsilon^{ijk}\lambda_i\left[\lambda_j,\phi_k\right]+\varepsilon^{ijk}\lambda_i^\dagger\left[\lambda_j^\dagger,\phi_k^\dagger\right]\right)\\&-\frac{g^2}{2\,T(A)^2}\left[\phi_i^\dagger,\phi_i\right]\left[\phi_j^\dagger,\phi_j\right]-\frac{2g^2}{T(A)^2}\left[\phi_i^\dagger,\phi_j^\dagger\right]\left[\phi_i,\phi_j\right]\Bigg)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Conformal invariance of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM follows from (2.7) where $\gamma^{(1)i}{}_j=0$ since $C^{ikl}_{IKL}=gT(R)\epsilon^{ijk}f_{abc}$. This also implies that $\beta^{(1)}_{h_{ijk}}=\beta^{(1)}_g=0$.

As we will see, marginal deformations of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM which preserve the finiteness condition at one-loop can be obtained by replacing the ordinary multiplication between all fields by an operator called star product. The general form of coupling constants (2.3) will then contain as well the anti-symmetric part a^{ijk} as the symmetric part h^{ijk}, also known as the general Leigh-Strassler deformation:

$$\mathcal{W}=a^{ijk}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_i\left[\Phi_j,\Phi_k\right]+h^{ijk}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_i\Phi_j\Phi_k\,. \tag{2.10}$$

In the next section we will compute the couplings a^{ijk} and h^{ijk} in a star product deformed theory. In section 7 we will evaluate the conditions for the supercurrent in (2.4) to remain anomaly-free.

3. Deformations from star product

Introducing the star product has shown to be beneficial in the study of marginal deformations of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM [2, 7]. In general, it is not easy to compute the star product of two chiral superfields. To simplify the computation we will in this section solve an eigenvalue system. We continue to define the star product for three deformation parameters. This allows us to compute the superpotential for both β- and γ_i-deformed theories.

3.1 Eigenvalue system

The key idea for this work is to make use of the permutation symmetries of the superpotential to study marginal deformations of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, by introducing a generalized multiplication operator between all fields, which we call "star product". When the symmetries permute a set of fields in the original so called Φ-system, it is hard to compute the star product directly. Instead, we rotate the system by an $SU(3)$ transformation into the so called Ψ-system in which the symmetries act with diagonal elements. In the Ψ-system, the star product can easily be computed.

Let us begin by choosing two symmetries of the superpotential which we denote S_1 and S_2. In the diagonal Ψ-system, the symmetries act as $U(1) \times U(1)$ transformations on the vector $\mathbf{\Psi} = (\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Psi_3)$ of chiral superfields accordingly

$$S_i : \qquad \mathbf{\Psi} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{Q}_i \mathbf{\Psi} \,, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\varphi_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\varphi_1} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{Q}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\varphi_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\varphi_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \,. \tag{3.2}$$

At this stage, φ_1 and φ_2 are arbitrary parameters. The superpotential (2.10) and also the Lagrangian (2.9) are invariant under an $SU(3)$ transformation. We introduce the vector $\mathbf{\Phi} = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3)$ of chiral superfields such that

$$\mathbf{\Psi} = T \mathbf{\Phi} \,, \qquad\qquad T \in SU(3) \,. \tag{3.3}$$

We now demand that the symmetries S_1 and S_2 act as permutations of the Φ_i's:

$$S_i : \qquad \mathbf{\Phi} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{P}_i \mathbf{\Phi} \,, \tag{3.4}$$

with

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 \\ a_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{P}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & b_3 \\ b_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \,, \tag{3.5}$$

where the parameters a_i and b_i will be determined below. The relation between $\mathcal{P}_i$ and $\mathcal{Q}_i$ is

$$\mathcal{P}_i = T^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_i T \,. \tag{3.6}$$

For the permutation matrices to be elements of SU(3), their elements have to satisfy *i)* $a_1 a_2 a_3 = 1$ and $b_1 b_2 b_3 = 1$ and *ii)* $|a_i|^2 = 1$ and $|b_i|^2 = 1$. It then follows that $\mathcal{P}_i^3 = 1$ which is equivalent to $\mathcal{Q}_i^3 = 1$. Thus, the relation (3.6) breaks the $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry to $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ with $e^{i\varphi_1} = e^{i\varphi_2} = e^{i2\pi/3}$. For simplicity we define $\alpha = e^{i2\pi/3}$ with inverse $\bar{\alpha}$. The relation $1 + \alpha + \bar{\alpha} = 0$ will be used repeatedly. As a result, the symmetries S_1 and S_2 act on the Ψ_i's as

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 : \qquad & (\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Psi_3) \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad (\Psi_1, \bar{\alpha}\Psi_2, \alpha\Psi_3) \\ S_2 : \qquad & (\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Psi_3) \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad (\alpha\Psi_1, \bar{\alpha}\Psi_2, \Psi_3) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

These relations will be used when we compute the star product in section 3.3.

The most general solution to (3.6) is

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 t_1 & a_1 a_2 t_1 & t_1 \\ \alpha a_1 t_2 & \bar{\alpha} a_1 a_2 t_2 & t_2 \\ \bar{\alpha} a_1 t_3 & \alpha a_1 a_2 t_3 & t_3 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{3.8}$$

where a_i are the parameters of $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $b_i = \alpha/a_{i+1}$ in $\mathcal{P}_2$. The parameters t_1, t_2 and t_3 have to satisfy $i)$ $3t_1t_2t_3a_1^2a_2(\bar{\alpha}-\alpha) = 1$ and $ii)$ $|t_i|^2 = 1/3$ for $T \in SU(3)$. These requirements are fulfilled for (including the conditions for $\mathcal{P}_i \in SU(3)$, see below (3.6))

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= e^{i\theta_1} , & a_2 &= e^{i\theta_2} = e^{-i(\theta_1+\theta_3)} , & a_3 &= e^{i\theta_3} , \\ t_1 &= \frac{e^{i\rho_1}}{\sqrt{3}} , & t_2 &= \frac{e^{i\rho_2}}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{ie^{i(\theta_3-\theta_1-\rho_1-\rho_3)}}{\sqrt{3}} , & t_3 &= \frac{e^{i\rho_3}}{\sqrt{3}} . \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The transfer matrix becomes

$$T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\theta_1+\rho_1)} & e^{-i(\theta_3-\rho_1)} & e^{i\rho_1} \\ \alpha i e^{i(\theta_3-\rho_1-\rho_3)} & \bar{\alpha} i e^{-i(\theta_1+\rho_1+\rho_3)} & i e^{i(\theta_3-\theta_1-\rho_1-\rho_3)} \\ \bar{\alpha} e^{i(\theta_1+\rho_3)} & \alpha e^{-i(\theta_3-\rho_3)} & e^{i\rho_3} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.10}$$

If we denote the part of the elements in (3.10) by t_{ij} which are dependent of the phases θ_i and ρ_i, then we can write

$$\Psi_i = \sum_j \alpha^{(i+2)j} t_{ij}\, \Phi_j = \sum_j \alpha^{(i+2)j} e^{i\rho_i} \prod_{\tilde{j}}^{j} e^{i\theta_{\tilde{j}}}\, \Phi_j . \tag{3.11}$$

This compact form will be useful in the coming sections. The permutation matrices (3.5) are

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i(\theta_1+\theta_3)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta_3} \\ e^{i\theta_1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{P}_2 = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & e^{-i\theta_1} \\ e^{i(\theta_1+\theta_3)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta_3} & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.12}$$

The transfer matrix (3.10) contains four independent parameters. Two of parameters, θ_1 and θ_3, are inherited from the permutation symmetry in (3.12). The remaining two parameters, ρ_1 and ρ_3, are coming from the original $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM $SU(4)$ R-symmetry. It is interesting to note that there does not exist a matrix T which takes $\mathcal{Q}_i$ to $\mathcal{P}_i$ (see (3.6)) for continuous parameters. As we will see in the next section, the surviving discrete $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ symmetry will let us define the star product, which is especially simple to compute in the Ψ-system. Transforming to the Φ-system induces extra cubic terms, of the form $\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_i^3$, to the superpotential which correspond to terms in the general Leigh-Strassler deformed theory.

3.2 Definition of star product

We define the star product between two fields Ψ_i and Ψ_j as, in analogy to [2],

$$\Psi_i \star \Psi_j = e^{i \det \widetilde{Q}_{ij}} \, \Psi_i \cdot \Psi_j \,, \tag{3.13}$$

where $\Psi_i \cdot \Psi_j$ is an ordinary product and the determinant is defined as

$$\det \widetilde{Q}_{ij} = \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{Q}_i^1 & \widetilde{Q}_i^2 \\ \widetilde{Q}_j^1 & \widetilde{Q}_j^2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{\gamma}_i Q_i^1 & \tilde{\gamma}_i Q_i^2 \\ \tilde{\gamma}_j Q_j^1 & \tilde{\gamma}_j Q_j^2 \end{vmatrix} = \tilde{\gamma}_i \tilde{\gamma}_j \det Q_{ij} \,. \tag{3.14}$$

(Q_i^1, Q_i^2) are the $S_1 \times S_2$ charges of the fields for the symmetries S_1 and S_2 of the corresponding superpotential. It will be convenient to rewrite the three deformation parameters $\tilde{\gamma}_1$, $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_3$ as

$$\gamma_{2(i+j)} = \tilde{\gamma}_i \tilde{\gamma}_j, \qquad 2(i+j) \bmod 3 \,, \tag{3.15}$$

so that $\gamma_1 = \tilde{\gamma}_2 \tilde{\gamma}_3$, $\gamma_2 = \tilde{\gamma}_3 \tilde{\gamma}_1$ and $\gamma_3 = \widetilde{\gamma}_1 \widetilde{\gamma}_2$.

A deformed multiplication law, such as (3.13), is usually denoted $\star$ and called "star product". Non-commutative field theories are often obtained by replacing the ordinary point-wise product of fields by the Moyal star product, which is defined by a bidifferential operator over some manifold. In the present context, the star product may be viewed as generalized couplings between fields. This is a convenient way to study marginal deformations of supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories.

Explicitly, the symmetries act on the fields as

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 : \qquad & \Psi_i \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad e^{i\widetilde{Q}_i^1} \Psi_i \\ S_2 : \qquad & \Psi_i \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad e^{i\widetilde{Q}_i^2} \Psi_i \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

The actions on a composite field are

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 : \qquad & \Psi_i \cdot \Psi_j \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad e^{i(\widetilde{Q}_i^1 + \widetilde{Q}_j^1)} \Psi_i \cdot \Psi_j \\ S_2 : \qquad & \Psi_i \cdot \Psi_j \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad e^{i(\widetilde{Q}_i^2 + \widetilde{Q}_j^2)} \Psi_i \cdot \Psi_j \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

from which we see that the product $\Psi_i \cdot \Psi_j$ has charge $(\widetilde{Q}_i^1 + \widetilde{Q}_j^1, \widetilde{Q}_i^2 + \widetilde{Q}_j^2)$. We can now compute the triple star product

$$\Psi_i \star \Psi_j \star \Psi_k = e^{i \det \widetilde{Q}_{jk}} \Psi_i \star (\Psi_j \cdot \Psi_k) = e^{i(\det \widetilde{Q}_{ij} + \det \widetilde{Q}_{jk} + \det \widetilde{Q}_{ik})} \Psi_i \cdot \Psi_j \cdot \Psi_k \,. \tag{3.18}$$

The star product is associative. To keep the permutation symmetry of the trace operator also in a star product defined theory we use the short-hand notation

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr} \Psi_i \star \Psi_j &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \Psi_i \star \Psi_j + \operatorname{Tr} \Psi_j \star \Psi_i \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma_{2(i+j)} \det Q_{ij}} \operatorname{Tr} \Psi_i \cdot \Psi_j + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\gamma_{2(i+j)} \det Q_{ij}} \operatorname{Tr} \Psi_j \cdot \Psi_i \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

In other words, we must symmetrize the trace explicitly before replacing the ordinary multiplication with the star product. The trace for the triple star product is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Psi_i\star\Psi_j\star\Psi_k=&\frac{1}{3}\,e^{i(\gamma_k\,\det Q_{ij}+\gamma_i\,\det Q_{jk}+\gamma_j\,\det Q_{ik})}\\&\times\left[e^{2i\gamma_i\,\det Q_{kj}}+e^{2i\gamma_j\,\det Q_{ik}}+e^{2i\gamma_k\,\det Q_{ji}}\right]\mathrm{Tr}\,\Psi_i\Psi_j\Psi_k\,.\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

When all deformations parameters are equal we obtain the so-called β-deformed theory with $\beta=\gamma_i$. If not, we have the three-parameter γ_i-deformed theory. In section 4 we will compute the star product $\Phi_i\star\Phi_j$ of two β-deformed chiral superfields in the Φ-system. The general results for the γ_i-deformed theory are presented in appendix A.

3.3 Superpotential in the one-parameter deformed theory

The β-deformed theory is obtained by setting all γ_i's equal in (3.20). We use the notation $\beta=\gamma_i$. From (3.7) and (3.16) we find that the superfields Ψ_i in the superpotential have charges

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_1:&\qquad\left(Q_1^{S_1},Q_1^{S_2}\right)=(0,1)\\\Psi_2:&\qquad\left(Q_2^{S_1},Q_2^{S_2}\right)=(-1,-1)\\\Psi_3:&\qquad\left(Q_3^{S_1},Q_3^{S_2}\right)=(1,0)\,.\end{aligned}\tag{3.21}$$

In the Ψ-system it is easy to evaluate the star product. From (3.20) and (3.21) we find

$$\mathcal{W}=\mathrm{Tr}\,\Psi_1\star\Psi_2\star\Psi_3-\mathrm{Tr}\,\Psi_1\star\Psi_3\star\Psi_2=e^{i\beta}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Psi_1\cdot\Psi_2\cdot\Psi_3-e^{-i\beta}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Psi_1\cdot\Psi_3\cdot\Psi_2\,.\tag{3.22}$$

Since the superpotential transforms as the determinant of the $SU(3)$ T-matrix in (3.10), we have

$$\mathcal{W}=\mathrm{Tr}\,\Psi_1\star\left[\Psi_2\stackrel{\star}{,}\Psi_3\right]=\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1\star\left[\Phi_2\stackrel{\star}{,}\Phi_3\right]\,.\tag{3.23}$$

If we use the relation (3.11) between Ψ and Φ we find

$$\Psi_i\Psi_j\Psi_k=\sum_{l,\,m,\,n}\alpha^{(i+2)l+(j+2)m+(k+2)n}t_{il}t_{jm}t_{kn}\Phi_l\Phi_m\Phi_n\,.\tag{3.24}$$

Performing the trace gives

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,\Psi_i\Psi_j\Psi_k=\frac{1}{3}\sum_{l,\,m,\,n}\bar{\alpha}^{l+m+n}\left(\alpha^{il+jm+kn}+\alpha^{kl+im+jn}+\alpha^{jl+km+in}\right)t_{il}t_{jm}t_{kn}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_l\Phi_m\Phi_n.\tag{3.25}$$

To relate to the superpotential we compute

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,\Psi_1\Psi_2\Psi_3=\frac{1}{3}\sum_{l,\,m,\,n}\alpha^{n-l}\left(1+\alpha^{l+m+n}+\bar{\alpha}^{l+m+n}\right)t_{1l}t_{2m}t_{3n}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_l\Phi_m\Phi_n\,,\tag{3.26}$$

which is zero unless $l+m+n=0$ mod 3. This implies that the only possible terms are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Psi_1\Psi_2\Psi_3 = \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}\big[&\bar\alpha \mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1\Phi_2\Phi_3 + \alpha \mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1\Phi_3\Phi_2 \\ &+ e^{i(2\theta_1+\theta_3)}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1^3 + e^{-i(\theta_1+2\theta_3)}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_2^3 + e^{-i(\theta_1-\theta_3)}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_3^3\big]\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

In a similar way, we can compute the remaining part of the superpotential (3.22). Using (3.22), (3.23), and (3.27) gives the β-deformed superpotential

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1\star[\Phi_2\stackrel{\star}{,}\Phi_3] = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{3}}\Big[&\sin(\beta-\frac{2\pi}{3})\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1\Phi_2\Phi_3 + \sin(\beta+\frac{2\pi}{3})\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1\Phi_3\Phi_2 \\ &+ \sin\beta\left(e^{i(2\theta_1+\theta_3)}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1^3 + e^{-i(\theta_1+2\theta_3)}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_2^3 + e^{-i(\theta_1-\theta_3)}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_3^3\right)\Big]\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

3.4 Superpotential in the three-parameter deformed theory

In this section we let the three deformation parameters be arbitrary. In a similar way as in the previous section we compute

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,\Psi_1\star\Psi_2\star\Psi_3 = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i,j,k}\left(e^{ix}\alpha^{k-i} + e^{iy}\alpha^{j-k} + e^{iz}\alpha^{i-j}\right)t_{1i}t_{2j}t_{3k}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_i\,\Phi_j\,\Phi_k\ , \tag{3.29}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,\Psi_1\star\Psi_3\star\Psi_2 = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i,j,k}\left(e^{-ix}\bar\alpha^{k-i} + e^{-iy}\bar\alpha^{j-k} + e^{-iz}\bar\alpha^{i-j}\right)t_{1i}t_{3j}t_{2k}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_i\,\Phi_j\,\Phi_k\ , \tag{3.30}$$

where we have introduced

$$x=\gamma_2+\gamma_3-\gamma_1\ ,\qquad y=\gamma_3+\gamma_1-\gamma_2\qquad\text{and}\qquad z=\gamma_1+\gamma_2-\gamma_3\ . \tag{3.31}$$

Using (3.23) then gives the superpotential

$$\mathcal{W}=\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1\star[\Phi_2\stackrel{\star}{,}\Phi_3] = \frac{2i}{3}\sum_{i,j,k}P_{i,j,k}(x,y,z)t_{1i}t_{2j}t_{3k}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_i\,\Phi_j\,\Phi_k\ , \tag{3.32}$$

where

$$P_{i,j,k}(x,y,z) = \sin\left(x+(k-i)u\right)+\sin\left(y+(j-k)u\right)+\sin\left(z+(i-j)u\right)\ . \tag{3.33}$$

with $u=2\pi/3$. Explicitly the terms are

$$\begin{aligned} P_{i,i,i}(x,y,z) &= \sin(x)+\sin(y)+\sin(z)\ , \\ P_{i,i+1,i+2}(x,y,z) &= \sin(x-u)+\sin(y-u)+\sin(z-u)\ , \\ P_{i,i+2,i+1}(x,y,z) &= \sin(x+u)+\sin(y+u)+\sin(z+u)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

The indices are modulus three. All other terms vanish for any value of x, y and z, due to the cyclic property of the trace operator. The P-functions[4] satisfy the identity

$$P_{i,\,i,\,i}(x,y,z) + P_{i,\,i+1,\,i+2}(x,y,z) + P_{i,\,i+2,\,i+1}(x,y,z) = 0\,. \tag{3.35}$$

Finally, the γ_i-deformed superpotential becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1 \star [\Phi_2 \stackrel{\star}{,} \Phi_3] = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{3}}\,[&P_{1,2,3}(x,y,z)\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1\Phi_2\Phi_3 + P_{1,3,2}(x,y,z)\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1\Phi_3\Phi_2 \\ &+\, e^{i(2\theta_1+\theta_3)}P_{1,1,1}(x,y,z)\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1^3 + e^{-i(\theta_1+2\theta_3)}P_{2,2,2}(x,y,z)\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_2^3 \\ &+\, e^{-i(\theta_1-\theta_3)}P_{3,3,3}(x,y,z)\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_3^3]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

4. Star product of composite chiral superfields

It is straightforward to compute the star product of two chiral superfields in the Φ-system. These relations are useful when evaluating Feynman diagrams. To begin, we recall (3.11) with inverse

$$\Phi_i = \sum_j \bar{\alpha}^{(i+2)j} t^*_{ji}\,\Psi_j = \sum_j \bar{\alpha}^{(i+2)j} e^{-i(\rho_j + \sum_i \theta_i)}\,\Psi_j\,. \tag{4.1}$$

which gives the star product

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_i \star \Phi_j &= \frac{1}{9}\sum_{k,l,m,n} \alpha^{(k+2)(m-i)+(l+2)(n-j)} e^{i\gamma_{2(k+l)}\,\det Q_{kl}} e^{i(\sum_{\hat{m}}^m \theta_{\hat{m}} + \sum_{\hat{n}}^n \theta_{\hat{n}} - \sum_{\tilde{i}}^i \theta_{\tilde{i}} - \sum_{\tilde{j}}^j \theta_{\tilde{j}})}\,\Phi_m\Phi_n \\ &= \frac{1}{9}\sum_{k,m,n} \alpha^{(k-1)(m+n-i-j)}\left(1 + \alpha^{n-j}e^{i\gamma_{k+2}} + \alpha^{m-i}e^{-i\gamma_{k+2}}\right) \\ &\quad \times e^{i(\sum_{\hat{m}}^m \theta_{\hat{m}} + \sum_{\hat{n}}^n \theta_{\hat{n}} - \sum_{\tilde{i}}^i \theta_{\tilde{i}} - \sum_{\tilde{j}}^j \theta_{\tilde{j}})}\,\Phi_m\Phi_n\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

In appendix A we present the explicit expressions for the star product in the γ_i-deformed case. In the β-deformed case the expression (4.2) is considerable simplified. All terms are zero unless $i + j - m - n = 0 \bmod 3$ which gives the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_i \star \Phi_i &= \frac{1}{3}\left[(1+2\cos\beta)\,\Phi_i\Phi_i + \left(1 + 2\cos(\beta - \frac{2\pi}{3})\right) e^{i(\theta_1-\theta_3-3\sum_{\tilde{i}}^i \theta_{\tilde{i}})}\Phi_{i+1}\Phi_{i+2}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(1 + 2\cos(\beta + \frac{2\pi}{3})\right) e^{i(\theta_1-\theta_3-3\sum_{\tilde{i}}^i \theta_{\tilde{i}})}\Phi_{i+2}\Phi_{i+1}\right]\,, \\ \Phi_i \star \Phi_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{3}\left[(1+2\cos\beta)\,\Phi_i\Phi_{i+1} + \left(1 + 2\cos(\beta - \frac{2\pi}{3})\right)\Phi_{i+1}\Phi_i\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(1 + 2\cos(\beta + \frac{2\pi}{3})\right) e^{-i(\theta_1-\theta_3-3\sum_{\tilde{i}}^{i+2} \theta_{\tilde{i}})}\Phi_{i+2}\Phi_{i+2}\right]\,,\end{aligned}$$

[4]These functions are not arbitrary named, since the level-set surfaces (3.34) belongs to the class of triply periodic minimal surfaces and are known in the literature as Schwartz's P-surfaces.

$$\Phi_{i+1}\star\Phi_i = \frac{1}{3}\left[(1+2\cos\beta)\,\Phi_{i+1}\Phi_i + \left(1+2\cos(\beta+\frac{2\pi}{3})\right)\Phi_i\Phi_{i+1} + \left(1+2\cos(\beta-\frac{2\pi}{3})\right)e^{-i(\theta_1-\theta_3-3\sum_{\tilde{i}}^{i+2}\theta_{\tilde{i}})}\Phi_{i+2}\Phi_{i+2}\right] . \tag{4.3}$$

5. Tree-level amplitudes from star product

To begin, we replace the ordinary multiplication between all component fields in the Lagrangian (2.9) by the star product. From (3.21) we find that the component fields have the charges

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1,\lambda_1: &\qquad \left(Q_1^{S_1},Q_1^{S_2}\right)=(0,1)\\
\psi_2,\lambda_2: &\qquad \left(Q_2^{S_1},Q_2^{S_2}\right)=(-1,-1)\\
\psi_3,\lambda_3: &\qquad \left(Q_3^{S_1},Q_3^{S_2}\right)=(1,0)\\
A^\mu,\lambda_4: &\qquad \left(Q_4^{S_1},Q_4^{S_2}\right)=(0,0)\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

The part

$$\mathcal{L}_{inv} = -\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}g}{T(A)}\left(\lambda_4\left[\phi_i^\dagger,\lambda_i\right]+\bar{\lambda}_4\left[\lambda_i^\dagger,\phi_i\right]\right)+\frac{g^2}{2\,T(A)^2}\left[\phi_i^\dagger,\phi_i\right]\left[\phi_j^\dagger,\phi_j\right]\right)\ , \tag{5.2}$$

of the Lagrangian (2.9) is unchanged when replacing the normal multiplication with the star product. The reasons are that the gluino λ_4 and its conjugate from the vector multiplet are neutral and that the combinations $\lambda_i^\dagger\phi_i$ and $\phi_i^\dagger\phi_i$, with sum over i, are phase-independent.

The terms in the Lagrangian (2.9) that are not invariant under the star product are

$$\mathcal{L}_\star = -\frac{g}{T(A)}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\varepsilon^{ijk}\lambda_i\star[\lambda_j\stackrel{\star}{,}\phi_k]+\varepsilon^{ijk}\lambda_i\star\left[\lambda_j^\dagger\stackrel{\star}{,}\phi_k^\dagger\right]+\frac{2g}{T(A)}\left[\phi_i^\dagger\stackrel{\star}{,}\phi_j^\dagger\right]\star\left[\phi_i\stackrel{\star}{,}\phi_j\right]\right) . \tag{5.3}$$

Since the Lagrangian (2.9), and naturally (5.3), is invariant under the transformation (3.10) we are free to express our fields in the ψ-system. From a generalization of the triple star product (3.18) it is easy to evaluate the star product (3.13) to express

$$\sum_{i,j}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\phi_i^\dagger\stackrel{\star}{,}\phi_j^\dagger\right]\star\left[\phi_i\stackrel{\star}{,}\phi_j\right] = 2\sum_{i,j,k,l}Q^{ijkl}(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3)\prod_{\tilde{k},\tilde{l},\tilde{i},\tilde{j}}^{k,l,i,j}e^{i(\theta_{\tilde{k}}+\theta_{\tilde{l}}-\theta_{\tilde{i}}-\theta_{\tilde{j}})}\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi_i^\dagger\phi_j^\dagger\phi_k\phi_l\ , \tag{5.4}$$

where we have defined

$$Q^{ijkl}=\sum_m\left[2\cos\left(2\gamma_{m+2}-\frac{2\pi n_1}{3}\right)-(1+\cos 2\gamma_{m+2})\cos\frac{2\pi n_2}{3}\right]\alpha^{(m+1)n_3}\ , \tag{5.5}$$

with

$$n_1 = i - j - k + l, \qquad n_2 = i - j + k - l \qquad \text{and} \qquad n_3 = -i - j + k + l\,. \quad (5.6)$$

We can see from (5.4) and (5.5) that interaction terms $\phi_i^\dagger \phi_j^\dagger \phi_k \phi_l$ are allowed for any combination of the indices, in the γ_i-deformed theory. That is, we may have terms with two, three or four indices of the same value. However, in the β-deformed theory, all terms are proportional to the factor $1 + \alpha^{i+j-k-l} + \bar{\alpha}^{i+j-k-l}$ which is zero unless $i + j - k - l \;=\; 0 \bmod 3$. As a consequence, terms with three indices of the same value vanish. In the non-deformed theory, terms with three or four indices of the same value vanish since the interaction is a product of two commutators. Interaction terms with three indices identical are in general not considered in the context of marginal deformations of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. Properties of gauge invariance and supersymmetry have to be investigated.

The four-scalar interaction (5.4) of the F-term can be obtained from

$$\mathcal{L}_F = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{W}_\star}{\partial \phi_i}\right)^\dagger \star \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{W}_\star}{\partial \phi_i}\right). \quad (5.7)$$

Replacing the star product between the derivatives by an ordinary multiplication, might at first thought give rise to a new theory without terms with three indices of the same value. However, calculations shows that the new couplings are

$$Q_{new}^{ijkl} = 2 \sum_m \left[\cos(2\gamma_{m+2} - 2\pi n_1/3) - \cos(2\pi n_2/3)\right] \alpha^{(m+1)n_3}\,, \quad (5.8)$$

which still contain terms with three identical indices. In obtaining (5.8), the trace is not symmetrized since there is an ambiguity how to perform the symmetrization. It might be possible to overcome this ambiguity by evaluating the star product before defining $\Phi^j \equiv \Phi_a^i T^a$ from which it follows that the structure constants f^{abc} are related to the trace operator. This would make (5.8) a valid relation. In the present context, the general rule is that all multiplication of fields should be replaced by the star product, as in (5.7).

In deriving (5.5), and also (5.8), we have assumed the deformation parameters γ_i to be real. To introduce complex variables we can go back to the definition $\gamma_{2(i+j)} = \tilde{\gamma}_i \tilde{\gamma}_j$, see (3.15), with $\tilde{\gamma}_i = \tilde{\gamma}_i^R + i\tilde{\gamma}_i^C$ where $\tilde{\gamma}_i^R$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_i^C$ are real. This leaves us with the deformations

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\gamma}_i \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1} &= \tilde{\gamma}_i^R \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}^R - \tilde{\gamma}_i^C \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}^C + i\left(\tilde{\gamma}_i^R \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}^C + \tilde{\gamma}_i^C \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}^R\right) \equiv \gamma_{i+2}^{R-} + i\gamma_{i+2}^{C+} \\
\tilde{\gamma}_i^* \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1} &= \tilde{\gamma}_i^R \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}^R + \tilde{\gamma}_i^C \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}^C + i\left(\tilde{\gamma}_i^R \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}^C - \tilde{\gamma}_i^C \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}^R\right) \equiv \gamma_{i+2}^{R+} + i\gamma_{i+2}^{C-}\,,
\end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

in addition to their complex conjugate. In (5.9) there is no obvious way how to separate the real and imaginary part from our original definition of γ_i without introducing extra deformations, corresponding to $\tilde{\gamma}_i^* \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}$. This complicates the study

of the real and complex part of the theory, but might at the same time open up for other interesting possibilities to consider. For complex deformations we find the couplings to be

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{ijkl} = \sum_m \big[& \cos\left(2\gamma^{R-}_{m+2} - un_1\right) \cosh 2\gamma^{C-}_{m+2} + \cos\left(2\gamma^{R+}_{i+2} - un_1\right) \cosh 2\gamma^{C+}_{i+2} \\ & - \cosh\left(2\gamma^{C+}_{m+2} - iun_2\right) - \cos 2\gamma^{R-}_{m+2} \cos un_2 \big] \, \alpha^{(m+1)n_3} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

where we have used $u = 2\pi/3$. If we let $\gamma^{R+}_{m+2} = \gamma^{R-}_{m+2}$ in (5.9) and (5.10), we obtain the real γ_i-deformed theory with couplings (5.5), as expected.

To compute the star product of the first term in (5.3), we can make use of the transformation (3.10). We find

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{ijk} \mathrm{Tr}\, \lambda_i \star [\lambda_j \stackrel{\star}{,} \phi_k] = \frac{2i}{3} \Big(& P_{i,i+1,i+2}(x,y,z) \mathrm{Tr}\left[\lambda_i \lambda_{i+1} \phi_{i+2} - \lambda_i \phi_{i+1} \lambda_{i+2}\right] \\ & + P_{i,i+2,i+1}(x,y,z) \mathrm{Tr}\left[\lambda_i \lambda_{i+2} \phi_{i+1} - \lambda_i \phi_{i+2} \lambda_{i+1}\right] \\ & + P_{1,1,1}(x,y,z) e^{i(2\theta_1+\theta_3)} \mathrm{Tr} \lambda_1 \left[\lambda_1, \phi_1\right] \\ & + P_{2,2,2}(x,y,z) e^{-i(\theta_1+2\theta_3)} \mathrm{Tr} \lambda_2 \left[\lambda_2, \phi_2\right] \\ & + P_{3,3,3}(x,y,z) e^{-i(\theta_1-\theta_3)} \mathrm{Tr} \lambda_3 \left[\lambda_3, \phi_3\right] \Big) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

where we have used the same notation and definitions as in the equations (3.31) and (3.34). The conjugate term can be computed in a similar way and equals

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{ijk} \mathrm{Tr}\, \lambda^\dagger_i \star \left[\lambda^\dagger_j \stackrel{\star}{,} \phi^\dagger_k\right] = \frac{2i}{3} \Big(& P_{i,i+2,i+1}(x^*,y^*,z^*) \mathrm{Tr}\left[\lambda^\dagger_i \lambda^\dagger_{i+1} \phi^\dagger_{i+2} - \lambda^\dagger_i \phi^\dagger_{i+1} \lambda^\dagger_{i+2}\right] \\ & + P_{i,i+1,i+2}(x^*,y^*,z^*) \mathrm{Tr}\left[\lambda^\dagger_i \lambda^\dagger_{i+2} \phi^\dagger_{i+1} - \lambda^\dagger_i \phi^\dagger_{i+2} \lambda^\dagger_{i+1}\right] \\ & + P_{1,1,1}(x^*,y^*,z^*) e^{-i(2\theta_1+\theta_3)} \mathrm{Tr} \lambda^\dagger_1 \left[\lambda^\dagger_1, \phi^\dagger_1\right] \\ & + P_{2,2,2}(x^*,y^*,z^*) e^{i(\theta_1+2\theta_3)} \mathrm{Tr} \lambda^\dagger_2 \left[\lambda^\dagger_2, \phi^\dagger_2\right] \\ & + P_{3,3,3}(x^*,y^*,z^*) e^{i(\theta_1-\theta_3)} \mathrm{Tr} \lambda^\dagger_3 \left[\lambda^\dagger_3, \phi^\dagger_3\right] \Big) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

6. Phase dependence of amplitudes from star product

To compute n-point loop, or just even tree-level, amplitudes is a tedious work. Organizing the Feynman diagrams by decomposed momentum and helicity, instead of momentum and polarized spin, has shown to dramatically reduce their complexity. These MHV diagrams share an iterative structure for computing higher loops [8]. Evaluating HMV amplitudes in a star product deformed theory shows the strength of the procedure.

In [7] it was shown in a β-deformed theory not containing terms $\phi^{\dagger 2}_i \phi^2_i$ that an arbitrary HMV planar tree or loop amplitude has a β-deformed phase factor which

can be read off from a single effective vertex. This vertex is only dependent on the external fields and not on the internal structure. In this section we will show that the results found in [7] also hold for our present β- and γ_i-deformed theories. In doing so, we will briefly extend the proof in [7].

The statement is that the deformation dependence for a general n-point HMV planar, tree or loop, amplitude $\mathcal{A}_n(F_1, \ldots, F_n)$ is entirely determined by the configuration of the external fields $F_1, \ldots, F_n$, so that

$$\mathcal{A}_n(F_1, \ldots, F_n): \qquad \mathrm{Tr}\,(F_1 \star F_1 \ldots \star F_n) = [\mathrm{phase}(\gamma)]\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(F_1 F_1 \ldots F_n)\ . \tag{6.1}$$

Let us start by considering a general HMV planar tree amplitude. Since an HMV diagram consists of fused vertices of opposite helicity, each propagator is proportional to $F_I^\dagger \star F_I$, with sum over I, which is phase independent due to opposite charges. This means that the internal structure is phase independent. A result which is true for both the β- and the γ_i-deformed theory. Thus, the phase dependence of the amplitude lies entirely in the external fields.

The argument is the same for planar loop amplitudes. Per definition, a planar diagram has no intersecting lines. Each internal line, between two vertices, is proportional to $F_I^\dagger \star F_I$, with sum over I, which again is independent of the phase. Hence the phase dependence of a planar diagram can be computed from an effective tree-level vertex as in (6.1), determined only by external fields.

In the ψ-system, all planar amplitudes in both the β- and γ_i-deformed theories are proportional to their $\mathcal{N} = 4$ counterparts. Since $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is a finite theory, our derived β- and γ_i-deformed theories should also share the same property of conformal invariance. Since the ψ-system is equivalent to the ϕ-system, through an $SU(3)$ transformation, we can conclude that the Leigh-Strassler deformation obtained from the star product, including diagrams with three indices of the same value, for the specific coupling constants (3.34) and (5.5), are conformal in the planar limit. In the next section we will compute the one-loop finiteness condition. The iterative structure of planar MHV amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, studied in [8], should also hold for our deformed theories since the phase dependence can be isolated for each amplitude.

7. One-loop finiteness condition

The one-loop finiteness condition is equivalent to the vanishing of the anomalous dimension (2.7) that was discussed in Section 2. If we compare (2.3) with the superpotential (3.32) we find that

$$C_{abc}^{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} P_{i,j,k}(x, y, z) t_{1i} t_{2j} t_{3k} \left(f^{abc} + d^{abc}\right)\ . \tag{7.1}$$

The antisymmetric property of f^{abc} then gives

$$C^{ikl}_{acd}\bar{C}^{bcd}_{jkl} = \frac{1}{4}\sum_i \Big[|P_{i,i+1,i+2} - P_{i,i+2,i+1}|^2 f^{acd}f_{bcd} + |P_{i,i+1,i+2} + P_{i,i+2,i+1}|^2 d^{acd}d_{bcd} + |P_{i,i,i}|^2 d^{acd}d_{bcd}\Big] . \tag{7.2}$$

Using $f^{acd}f_{bcd} = 2N$ and $d^{acd}d_{bcd} = 2N - 8/N$ and explicitly write the P - functions in (3.34), we find the one-loop finiteness condition to be

$$g^2_{\gamma_i} = \frac{3\,|h_{\gamma_i}|^2}{4}\left[3\,|\cos x + \cos y + \cos z|^2 + 2\,|\sin x + \sin y + \sin z|^2\left(1 - \frac{4}{N^2}\right)\right] . \tag{7.3}$$

This simplifies to

$$g^2_\beta = \frac{27\,|h_\beta|^2}{4}\left[3\,|\cos\beta|^2 + 2\,|\sin\beta|^2\left(1 - \frac{4}{N^2}\right)\right] . \tag{7.4}$$

in the β-deformed theory. The β-deformed theory studied in [7] showed that a complex deformation of the form $\beta = \beta_R + i\beta_C$ gives the one-loop finiteness condition $g^2 \propto |h|^2 \cosh 2\beta_C$ in the large-N limit. Feynman supergraph calculations showed that this planar equivalence with the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory holds up to four loops.

In the present β-deformed theory[5], we instead get the planar equivalence

$$g^2_\beta \propto |h_\beta|^2\left(2\cosh 2\beta_C + \sinh^2\beta_C + \cos^2\beta_R\right) , \tag{7.5}$$

which is dependent on the parameter β_R. It would be interesting to understand the underlying reason for this dependence in a supergraph formalism.

8. Summary and discussion

We have shown that it is possible to obtain the general Leigh-Strassler deformation, including terms of the form $\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_i^3$, from the definition (3.13) of the star product. The superpotential has been computed for the β-deformed theory in (3.29) and for the γ_i-deformed theory in (3.36). The analysis was based on two equivalent systems of chiral superfields which we have called the Ψ- and the Φ-system, related by an $SU(3)$ transformation. The latter system corresponds to charges in an off-diagonal matrix obtained from an $SU(3)$ transformation of the diagonal $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$-symmetry charges. In the diagonal Ψ-system the star product is easily evaluated.

When we computed the tree-level amplitudes corresponding to terms in the classical Lagrangian we found the expected Leigh-Strassler deformed terms for a β-deformed theory. However, in the γ_i-deformed case, the four-scalar interaction of

[5]Note that here we only have $\beta = \tilde{\beta}\tilde{\beta}$ and $\beta^* = \tilde{\beta}^*\tilde{\beta}^*$. When computing the one-loop conditions, terms as $\tilde{\beta}\tilde{\beta}^*$ are not present, so it is possible to define $\beta = \beta_R + i\beta_C$ where $\beta_R = \beta^{R-}$ and $\beta_C = \beta^{C+}$, with notation as in (5.9).

the F-term contained terms of the form $\mathrm{Tr}\,\phi_i^\dagger\phi_j^\dagger\phi_k\phi_l$ for any value of the indices. Terms with three equal indices vanish in the β-deformed theory, but are present in the γ_i-deformed case.

We have extended the proof in [7] to also cover our present theories. We concluded that for an arbitrary HMV planar tree or loop amplitudes, the phase dependence of the deformation can be computed from an effective tree-level vertex determined only by external fields, and not the internal structure. In the ψ-system (component fields) all planar amplitudes in our present theories are proportional to their $\mathcal{N}=4$ counterparts. Since $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM is a finite theory our present theories should share the same properties. We also concluded that the iterative structure of MHV amplitudes in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM, found in [8], should also hold for our deformed theories. In section 7 we computed the one-loop finiteness condition. It would be interesting to find permutation matrices (3.5) of a more general form to establish a relation between coupling constants and more general conditions for a finite theory.

The supergravity dual to the real β-deformed theory was generated in [2], by a combination of T-dualites and a shift (called TsT-transformation) on the isometries of the five-sphere part of $AdS_5\times S^5$. The complex part of β followed from a non-trivial S-duality transformation. In [12], it was shown that three consecutive TsT-transformations generate a three-parameter deformation of $AdS_5\times S^5$. The dual field theory corresponds to a non-supersymmetric three-parameter marginal deformation of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM. It would be interesting to understand if the three-parameter supergravity background can be obtained in a similar way, by consecutive TsT-transformations, for our present theories.

A Lax representation, which implies integrability of strings moving in the Lunin-Maldacena background [2], was also found in [12]. In [13], it was concluded that the integrability is lost in the planar limit, for complex β-deformed theories. More general Leigh-Strassler deformed theories, containing $\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_i^3$, where consider in [14] to study integrability. It would also be interesting to understand if the present results can be translated to a one-loop dilation operator to win insight in the integrability of marginal deformed $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM.

Acknowledgements I would like to thank Anna Tollstén for many useful discussions and for reading this manuscript. I would also like to thank Johan Bijnens for discussions and useful inputs.

A. Star product in γ_i-deformed theory

In this appendix we present the results of star product evaluation of two chiral

superfields. We us the same notation as in section 4. In the γ_i-deformed case we find

$$\Phi_i \star \Phi_i = \frac{1}{9}\sum_{j,k}\Bigg[\alpha^{(k-1)(i-j)}(1+2\cos\gamma_k)\prod_{\tilde{i},\tilde{j}}^{i,j} e^{-2i(\theta_{\tilde{i}}-\theta_{\tilde{j}})}\Phi_j\Phi_j + \alpha^{(k-1)(i-j+1)} \tag{A.1}$$
$$\times\prod_{\tilde{i},\tilde{j}}^{i,j} e^{-i(2\theta_{\tilde{i}}+\theta_{\tilde{j}})}\Big((1+2\cos(\gamma_k-u))\Phi_j\Phi_{j+1}+(1+2\cos(\gamma_k+u))\Phi_{j+1}\Phi_j\Big)\Bigg]\ ,$$

$$\Phi_i \star \Phi_{i+1} = \frac{1}{9}\sum_{j,k}\Bigg[\alpha^{(k-1)(i-j-1)}(1+2\cos(\gamma_k+u))\prod_{\tilde{i},\tilde{j}}^{i,j} e^{i(\theta_{\tilde{i}+2}-2\theta_{\tilde{j}})}\Phi_j\Phi_j \tag{A.2}$$
$$+\alpha^{(k-1)(i-j)}\prod_{\tilde{i},\tilde{j}}^{i,j} e^{i(\theta_{\tilde{i}+2}-\theta_{\tilde{j}+2})}\Big((1+2\cos\gamma_k)\Phi_j\Phi_{j+1}+(1+2\cos(\gamma_k-u))\Phi_{j+1}\Phi_j\Big)\Bigg]\ ,$$

$$\Phi_{i+1} \star \Phi_i = \frac{1}{9}\sum_{j,k}\Bigg[\alpha^{(k-1)(i-j-1)}(1+2\cos(\gamma_k-u))\prod_{\tilde{i},\tilde{j}}^{i,j} e^{i(\theta_{\tilde{i}+2}-2\theta_{\tilde{j}})}\Phi_j\Phi_j \tag{A.3}$$
$$+\alpha^{(k-1)(i-j)}\prod_{\tilde{i},\tilde{j}}^{i,j} e^{i(\theta_{\tilde{i}+2}-\theta_{\tilde{j}+2})}\Big((1+2\cos(\gamma_k+u))\Phi_j\Phi_{j+1}+(1+2\cos\gamma_k)\Phi_{j+1}\Phi_j\Big)\Bigg]\ .$$

For products involving conjugate superfields we find

$$\Phi_i \star \Phi_i^\dagger = \frac{1}{9}\sum_{j,k}\Bigg[\left(3+2\cos\left(\gamma_k-\frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j)\right)\right)\Phi_j\Phi_j^\dagger$$
$$+2\bar{\alpha}^{k-1}\cos\left(\gamma_k-\frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j+1)\right)\prod_{\tilde{j}}^{j} e^{i(\theta_{\tilde{j}}-\theta_{\tilde{j}+1})}\Phi_j\Phi_{j+1}^\dagger$$
$$+2\,\alpha^{k-1}\cos\left(\gamma_k-\frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j+1)\right)\prod_{\tilde{j}}^{j} e^{-i(\theta_{\tilde{j}}-\theta_{\tilde{j}+1})}\Phi_{j+1}\Phi_j^\dagger\Bigg]\ , \tag{A.4}$$

$$\Phi_i \star \Phi_{i+1}^\dagger = \frac{1}{9}\prod_{\tilde{i}}^{i} e^{-i(\theta_{\tilde{i}}-\theta_{\tilde{i}+1})}\sum_{j,k}\Bigg[2\alpha^{k-1}\cos\left(\gamma_k-\frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j-1)\right)\Phi_j\Phi_j^\dagger$$
$$+\left(3+2\cos\left(\gamma_k-\frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j)\right)\right)\prod_{\tilde{j}}^{j} e^{i(\theta_{\tilde{j}}-\theta_{\tilde{j}+1})}\Phi_j\Phi_{j+1}^\dagger$$
$$+2\,\bar{\alpha}^{k-1}\cos\left(\gamma_k-\frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j)\right)\prod_{\tilde{j}}^{j} e^{-i(\theta_{\tilde{j}}-\theta_{\tilde{j}+1})}\Phi_{j+1}\Phi_j^\dagger\Bigg]\ , \tag{A.5}$$

$$\Phi_{i+1} \star \Phi_i^\dagger = \frac{1}{9} \prod_{\tilde{i}}^{i} e^{i(\theta_{\tilde{i}} - \theta_{\tilde{i}+1})} \sum_{j,k} \left[2\bar{\alpha}^{k-1} \cos\left(\gamma_k - \frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j-1) \right) \Phi_j \Phi_j^\dagger \right.$$
$$+ 2\alpha^{k-1} \cos\left(\gamma_k - \frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j) \right) \prod_{\tilde{j}}^{j} e^{i(\theta_{\tilde{j}} - \theta_{\tilde{j}+1})} \Phi_j \Phi_{j+1}^\dagger$$
$$\left. + \left(3 + 2\, \cos\left(\gamma_k - \frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j) \right) \right) \prod_{\tilde{j}}^{j} e^{-i(\theta_{\tilde{j}} - \theta_{\tilde{j}+1})} \Phi_{j+1} \Phi_j^\dagger \right] , \quad \text{(A.6)}$$

$$\Phi_i^\dagger \star \Phi_i = \frac{1}{9} \sum_{j,k} \left[\left(3 + 2\, \cos\left(\gamma_k + \frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j) \right) \right) \Phi_j^\dagger \Phi_j \right.$$
$$+ 2\alpha^{k-1} \cos\left(\gamma_k + \frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j+1) \right) \prod_{\tilde{j}}^{j} e^{-i(\theta_{\tilde{j}} - \theta_{\tilde{j}+1})} \Phi_j^\dagger \Phi_{j+1}$$
$$\left. + 2\bar{\alpha}^{k-1} \cos\left(\gamma_k + \frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j+1) \right) \prod_{\tilde{j}}^{j} e^{i(\theta_{\tilde{j}} - \theta_{\tilde{j}+1})} \Phi_{j+1}^\dagger \Phi_j \right] , \quad \text{(A.7)}$$

$$\Phi_i^\dagger \star \Phi_{i+1} = \frac{1}{9} \prod_{\tilde{i}}^{i} e^{i(\theta_{\tilde{i}} - \theta_{\tilde{i}+1})} \sum_{j,k} \left[2\bar{\alpha}^{k-1} \cos\left(\gamma_k + \frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j-1) \right) e^{-i\theta_3} \Phi_j^\dagger \Phi_j \right.$$
$$+ \left(3 + 2\, \cos\left(\gamma_k + \frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j) \right) \right) \prod_{\tilde{j}}^{j} e^{-i(\theta_{\tilde{j}} - \theta_{\tilde{j}+1})} \Phi_j^\dagger \Phi_{j+1}$$
$$\left. + 2\alpha^{k-1} \cos\left(\gamma_k + \frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j) \right) \prod_{\tilde{j}}^{j} e^{i(\theta_{\tilde{j}} - \theta_{\tilde{j}+1})} \Phi_{j+1}^\dagger \Phi_j \right] , \quad \text{(A.8)}$$

$$\Phi_{i+1}^\dagger \star \Phi_i = \frac{1}{9} \prod_{\tilde{i}}^{i} e^{-i(\theta_{\tilde{i}} - \theta_{\tilde{i}+1})} \sum_{j,k} \left[2\alpha^{k-1} \cos\left(\gamma_k + \frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j-1) \right) e^{i\theta_1} \Phi_j^\dagger \Phi_j \right.$$
$$+ 2\bar{\alpha}^{k-1} \cos\left(\gamma_k + \frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j) \right) \prod_{\tilde{j}}^{j} e^{-i(\theta_{\tilde{j}} - \theta_{\tilde{j}+1})} \Phi_j^\dagger \Phi_{j+1}$$
$$\left. + \left(3 + 2\, \cos\left(\gamma_k + \frac{2\pi}{3}(i-j) \right) \right) \prod_{\tilde{j}}^{j} e^{i(\theta_{\tilde{j}} - \theta_{\tilde{j}+1})} \Phi_{j+1}^\dagger \Phi_j \right] . \quad \text{(A.9)}$$

References

[1] R. G. Leigh and M. J. Strassler, "Exactly marginal operators and duality in four-dimensional n=1 supersymmetric gauge theory," *Nucl. Phys.* **B447** (1995) 95–136, [hep-th/9503121].

[2] O. Lunin and J. M. Maldacena, "Deforming field theories with U(1) x U(1) global symmetry and their gravity duals," JHEP **0505** (2005) 033 [hep-th/0502086].

[3] J. M. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231 [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1113] [hep-th/9711200].

[4] D. Z. Freedman and U. Gursoy, "Comments on the beta-deformed N = 4 SYM theory," JHEP **0511**, 042 (2005) [hep-th/0506128].

[5] S. Penati, A. Santambrogio and D. Zanon, "Two-point correlators in the beta-deformed N = 4 SYM at the next-to-leading order," JHEP **0510** (2005) 023 [hep-th/0506150].

[6] A. Mauri, S. Penati, A. Santambrogio and D. Zanon, "Exact results in planar N = 1 superconformal Yang-Mills theory," JHEP **0511** (2005) 024 [hep-th/0507282].

[7] V. V. Khoze, "Amplitudes in the beta-deformed conformal Yang-Mills," JHEP **0602** (2006) 040 [hep-th/0512194].

[8] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon and V. A. Smirnov, "Iteration of planar amplitudes in maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory at three loops and beyond," Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 085001 [hep-th/0505205].

[9] J. Bagger and J. Wess, "Supersymmetry and Supergravity" Princeton Series in Physics, second edition, 1992.

[10] A. Parkes and P. C. West, "Finiteness in rigid supersymmetric theories," *Phys. Lett.* **B138** (1984) 99.

[11] D. R. T. Jones and L. Mezincescu, "The chiral anomaly and a class of two loop finite supersymmetric gauge theories," *Phys. Lett.* **B138** (1984) 293.

[12] S. Frolov, "Lax pair for strings in Lunin-Maldacena background," JHEP **0505** (2005) 069 [hep-th/0503201].

[13] D. Berenstein and S. A. Cherkis, "Deformations of N = 4 SYM and integrable spin chain models," Nucl. Phys. B **702** (2004) 49 [hep-th/0405215].

[14] D. Bundzik and T. Mansson, "The general Leigh-Strassler deformation and integrability," JHEP **0601** (2006) 116 [hep-th/0512093].